



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,041	02/03/2004	Mike S. Choi	42P17995	3899
45209	7590	08/18/2009		
INTEL/BSTZ				
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP				
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY				
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040				
EXAMINER				
NGUYEN, KIMHUNG T				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2629				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/18/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

## Application No.

10/772,041

## Applicant(s)

CHOI ET AL.

## Examiner

KIMNHUNG NGUYEN

## Art Unit

2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 May 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/29/09
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined. The claims 1-20 are pending. The examination results are as following.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3, 6-10 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamura et al. (US 2002/005,7265) in view of Hamlin (US 2004/021,610).

As to claim 1, Tamura et al. disclose in fig. 8, an article comprising: a storage medium comprising machine-readable instructions stored thereon to: execute a software driver (see RAM 512) for a display codec (548), the software driver configured to work with a plurality of display codecs (see interface IC decodes) of the software driver (512, see [0215]) when the display codec should be an inherent is changed (see the control circuit 510 causes the display driver IC 512 with a built-in RAM to supply text data as the display data or **set the moving image display region**, see [0200], and see **the display data to be read can be with certainty the new display data in the frame**, see [0252]). Then clearly, the display data set the moving image display region and the display data read can be with certainty the new display data in the frame corresponds to the display codec is changed); and transmit digital signals from the display codec

to a display using the software driver (see [0213], [0216]). However, Tamura et al. do not specifically disclose the display remaining in a default configuration. Hamlin discloses in fig. 1, a software driver (see RAM, CPU, ROM, software control logic, see [0027]), and a plurality of display (see displays 121,122,123,124, see [0028]), and these displays remaining in a default configuration (see a mode table 175 is created with default display configuration setting or values that are stored in the media drive, see [0036]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a software driver, and a plurality of display in a default configuration as taught by Hamlin into the system of Tamura et al. having the software driver configured to work with a plurality of display codecs of the software driver (512) when the display codec should be changed for producing the claimed invention because this would provide the display state which is stored includes display configuration information for each of the displays in particular display state, and default configuration information entries or values corresponding to detected display state are read from the mode table as per block (see [0036]).

As to claim 2, Tamura et al. disclose further wherein the software driver comprises machine readable instructions to recognize each of a plurality of displays (see display of 110, 512, 514, 516, fig. 8).

As to claim 3, Tamura et al. disclose further wherein the plurality of displays consist of digital displays selected from the group consisting of flat panel, LCD (liquid crystal display) (see fig. 8).

As to claim 6, Tamura et al. disclose further wherein the storage medium receives the digital signals from a wireless transmission device (542, fig. 8).

As to claim 7 is rejected as the same as claim 1.

As to claim 8, Tamura et al. disclose further wherein the software driver is part of a graphics controller (see controller 510) for communicating with the display codec.

As to claim 9, Tamura et al. disclose further wherein the display codec comprises a hardware portion (see display driver IC 100, fig. 5) that communicates with the software driver such that the graphics controller recognizes each of a plurality of different display codecs at different periods of time (see 0214).

As to claim 10, Tamura et al. disclose further, where the software driver (RAM) comprises a storage medium for the graphics controller known as a universal software driver.

As to claim 15 is rejected as the same as claim 1.

As to claim 16, Tamura et al. disclose further, the graphics controller (510) of claim 15 wherein the software driver comprises a universal software driver (see [0060-0068]).

As to claim 17, Tamura et al. disclose the graphics controller (510) of claim 15 further comprising a storage medium for the software driver that communicates with a display codec, the software driver recognizing each of a plurality of display codecs (see [0214]).

As to claims 18, 19 are rejected as the same as claims 15-17.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamura et al. (US 2002/0057265) and Hamlin (US 2004/021,610) and in view of Swartz et al. (US 2005/0104899).

Tamura et al. and Hamlin do not disclose the digital signals from cable television outlet. Swartz et al. disclose a display system having the digital signals from cable television outlet (see 0026-0028). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the digital signals from cable television outlet as taught by Swartz et al. into the system of Tamura et al. and Hamlin for producing the claimed invention because this would any number and type of well-known digital formats, such as, JPEG, BMP, TIFF, BNC composite, serial digital, parallel digital, RGB, or consumer digital video (see 0027).

5. Claims 5, 11-14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamura et al. (US 2002/0057265) and Hamlin (US 2004/021,610) and in view of Schulz et al. (US 2005/0155043).

As to claim 5, Tamura et al. and Hamlin do not disclose that wherein the storage medium receives the digital signals from a satellite. Tamura et al. disclose a communication interface from a storage medium such as a satellite transceiver (see 0042).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the storage medium such as a satellite transceiver as taught by Schulz et al. into the system of Tamura et al. and Hamlin for producing the claimed invention because this would the information to the user.

As to claim 11, Tamura et al. disclose in fig. 5, a system comprising a processor (CPU, see 0209); a memory coupled to the processor to support the processor operations; and the memory for network communications; a display (LCD 110) that communicatively couples with the processor through a display codec (548, fig. 8) to display images from image signals that are received at the system in a digital format; and a graphics controller (510) having a software

driver (RAM 512) configured to work with display codecs, the software driver configured to work with a plurality of display codecs (see interface IC decodes) of the software driver (512, see [0215]) when the display codec should be an inherent is changed (see the control circuit 510 causes the display driver IC 512 with a built-in RAM to supply text data as the display data or **set the moving image display region**, see [0200], and see **the display data to be read can be with certainty the new display data in the frame**, see [0252]). Then clearly, the display data set the moving image display region, or the display data read can be with certainty the new display data in the frame corresponds to the display codec is changed); and transmit digital signals from the display codec to a display using the software driver (see [0213], [0216]), and the graphic controller being communicatively coupled to the processor (see, [0209]).

However, Tamura et al. do not disclose an Ethernet card interoperating with the processor, and a plurality of display remaining in a default configuration.

Hamlin discloses in fig. 1, a software driver (see RAM, CPU, ROM, software control logic, see [0027]), and a plurality of display (see displays 121,122,123,124, see [0028]), and these displays remaining in a default configuration (see a mode table 175 is created with default display configuration setting or values that are stored in the media drive, see [0036]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a software driver, and a plurality of display in a default configuration as taught by Hamlin into the system of Tamura et al. having the software driver configured to work with a plurality of display codecs of the software driver when the display codec should be changed for producing the claimed invention because this would provide the display state which is stored includes display configuration information for each of the displays in particular display

state, and default configuration information entries or values corresponding to detected display state are read from the mode table as per block (see [0036]).

Schulz et al. disclose in fig. 2, the processor comprises an Ethernet card (22, see 0006).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the processor comprises an Ethernet card as taught by Schulz et al. into the system of Tamura et al. and Hamlin for producing the claimed invention because this would provide client-server system typically use a network card to communicate data to the server via a computer network (see 0006).

As to claim 12, Tamura et al. disclose further, wherein the software driver comprises a universal software driver (see [0060-0068]).

As to claim 13, Tamura et al. disclose further wherein the display is a digital display.

As to claim 14, Tamura et al. disclose further wherein the display is selected from the group consisting of flat panel, LCD (liquid crystal display, see fig. 8).

As to claim 20, Tamura et al. and Hamlin do not disclose that wherein said emulating replacing the first one of the plurality of display codecs comprises replacing the first of the plurality of display codecs with an SDVO codec. It would have been obvious skill in the art to have the replacing display codecs with an SDVO codec to the claimed invention because Tamura et al. disclose a plurality of other display codecs (see fig. 8).



*Response to Argument*

6. Applicant's arguments filed 5/29/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that "Tamura, Hamlin and Swartz were combined, such a combination would lack "remaining in a default configuration of the software driver when the display codec is changed", as recited in amended claim 1.

Examiner respectively disagrees because Tamura et al. disclose in fig. 8, an article comprising: a storage medium comprising machine-readable instructions stored thereon to: execute a software driver (see RAM 512) for a display codec (548), the software driver configured to work with a plurality of display codecs (see interface IC decodes) of the software driver (512, see [0215]) when the display codec should be an inherent is changed (see the control circuit 510 causes the display driver IC 512 with a built-in RAM to supply text data as the display data or **set the moving image display region**, see [0200], and see **the display data to be read can be with certainty the new display data in the frame**, see [0252]). Then clearly, the display data set the moving image display region or the display data read can be with certainty the new display data in the frame corresponds to the display codec is changed); and transmit digital signals from the display codec to a display using the software driver (see [0213], [0216]). However, Tamura et al. do not specifically disclose the display remaining in a default configuration. Hamlin discloses in fig. 1, a software driver (see RAM, CPU, ROM, software control logic, see [0027]), and a plurality of display (see displays 121,122,123,124, see [0028]), and these displays remaining in a default configuration (see a mode table 175 is created with default display configuration setting or values that are stored in the media drive, see [0036].

Therefore, the combination of Tamura et al. and Hamlin are satisfied for its intended purpose. For these reasons, the rejections are maintained.

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### *Correspondence*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KIMNHUNG NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-7698. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI, FROM 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. N./

Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Richard Hjerpe/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629